



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/420,951	10/19/1999	PAUL LIESENBERG	081862.P152	3474	
7590 03/26/2004			EXAMINER		
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR			AGDEPPA, HECTOR A		
LOS ANGELES		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•		2642	20	
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/420,951	LIESENBERG, PAUL	LIESENBERG, PAUL				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Hector A. Agdeppa	2642					
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication app	ears on the cover sheet with	he correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM  - Extensions of time may be available under the pr after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the seriod for reply specified above is less than  If NO period for reply is specified above, the max  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three is earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	IMUNICATION. ovisions of 37 CFR 1.13 nis communication. thirty (30) days, a reply imum statutory period w for reply will, by statute, months after the mailing	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 iil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely.  From the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on <u>02 Ja</u>	nuary 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .								
· · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to	_ is/are withdraw							
Application Papers	. 1							
9) The specification is objected to	by the Examine	·.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that an	•		` '					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inc 11) The oath or declaration is object	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  ffice Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)		_						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1 Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S Patent and Trademath Office.			mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/420,951

Art Unit: 2642

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on 1/2/04. Claims 1 are now pending in the present application. This action is made final.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 1 – 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat 6,292,478 (Farris) in view of US Pat 6,512,768 (Thomas).

As to claims 1, 3 - 5, 7 - 9, 11 - 13, 15 – 17, 20, 22, 23, 26, and 28, Farris teaches a telecommunications system wherein the system includes a routing and administration server (RAS) 480 that includes routing table/databases for associating IP addresses of an Internet Telephony Server (ITS) 472, ITS 472 typically being connected to a central office, with the telephone numbers the telephone network 462 serves, those telephone numbers having an area code/NNX designation. Furthermore, note that for all practical purposes, transmitting update messages are inherent in telephony systems for the purposes of updating data or records, noting changes in system status etc. (Abstract, Figs. 9, 13A, 13B, Col. 12, line 60 – Col. 14, line 6)

What Farris does not teach is the use of labels for corresponding IP addresses. However, Thomas teaches that a known technique of avoiding longest match searches is "tag-switching" or "label-switching" which is merely assigning a "shorter" or more quickly accessed/assessed label to an IP address negating the need to look at an entire address. Furthermore, a popular method of performing this "label-switching" is Multi-

Art Unit: 2642

Protocol Label Switching (MPLS). Obviously the motivation for this is clearly to speed up system operation and make it more efficient. It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to combine Farris and Thomas inasmuch as Thomas teaches that it is well known and popular to "tag-switch" or "label-switch" and also in view of the obvious motivation for making the system of Farris faster and/or more efficient. (Col. 1, line 38 – Col. 2, line 48 of Thomas)

Also, the very nature of data and digital communications is to NOT have a one-to-one relationship between a trunk, line, connection, and a user like a POTS system for example. Therefore it is at the very least obvious that other connections as well are routed using the IP address and label depending on where or how a call or communication is to be routed. Having NON-dedicated lines for communications has been the desire for a long time to increase system resource efficiency/eliminate wasteful resource usage and even to increase system efficiency.

Moreover, tunneling is merely a protocol or method of routing supported on any local area network and used in Internet data communications, such as that used/described in Thomas and Farris, which allows temporarily changing the destination of a packet or in some cases, piggybacking protocols to allow for alternate routing paths / get around router(s) incapable of routing to the destination router.

As to claims 2, 6, 10, and 14, Farris has been discussed above. What Farris fails to teach is the assigning of an IP address to a central office. As discussed above, the IP address refers to an ITS. However, as also discussed above, the ITS is connected to a central office. For all intensive purposes, the ITS allows an IP address to be

Art Unit: 2642

associated with telephone numbers being served by a central office. Simply, that the functionality is taken outside of the central office and put into the ITS. However, as the ITS is connected to the central office it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have implemented the functionality of the ITS in the central office as such would only be a design choice or preference.

As to claims 18 and 24, it the system uses IP addresses then an IP service layer will be employed as well. As for an ATM transport layer, ATM is merely one of a plurality of transport protocols which may be employed in a communications system and so it's use is obvious and well known. Moreover, see Fig. 5 of Thomas and Col. 3, lines 43 – 44 wherein an ATM frame is shown having an IP datagram in its payload field. This indicates that an IP service layer and ATM transport layer is used in the system of Thomas.

As to claims 19, 21, 25, and 27, the system of Farris is employed on an AIN system and therefore it is inherent that the initial address message (IAM) is a signaling system 7 (SS7) IAM message.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 1/2/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments have been addressed in the above rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/420,951 Page 5

Art Unit: 2642

### Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 5,737,517 (Kite et al.), US 6,084,956 (Turner et al.), US 6,285,680 (Steinka et al.), and US 6,442,169 (Lewis) all teach the old and well known method of tunneling. Also, Newton's Telecom Dictionary defines the old and well known method of tunneling as well.

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hector A. Agdeppa whose telephone number is 703-305-1844. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon thru Fri 9:30am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad F. Matar can be reached on 703-305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/420,951

Art Unit: 2642

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

H.A.A.

August 22, 2003

AHMAD MATAR

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800